Sturbridge Conservation Commission

Meeting Minutes Tuesday, October 1, 2019 Approved Tuesday, October 15, 2019

Present:

Ed Goodwin, Chairman David Barnicle, Commissioner Steve Halteman, Commissioner Erik Gaspar, Commissioner Rebecca Gendreau, Conservation Agent

Also Present:

See Attached Login Sheets

Absent:

Steven Chidester, Vice-Chair

Chairman Goodwin called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm.

6:00 PM Open Meeting – Quorum check

Committee Updates:

- **CPA** No Report.
- **Trail Committee** D. Barnicle reported that the next meeting is next week.
- Open Space Committee No Report.
- Lakes Advisory Committee No Report.

Approval of minutes:

September 17, 2019: On motion of D. Barnicle, seconded by S. Halterman, the Commission approved the minutes of the September 17, 2019 meeting. Vote: 3-yes, 0-no, 1-abstain (Goodwin), 1-absent (Chidester).

Walk-ins - No Walk-Ins

S. Halterman read the statement for the Public Hearings.

Public Hearings

6:15 **Request for Determination of Applicability;** 27 Ladd Road; Replace 10 foot section of decking across an existing telephone bridge; 508 International; R. Jennings

508 International LLC submitted an RDA for the replacement of decking on an existing telephone bridge.

Representatives of 508 International were not in attendance for the Public Hearing.

Agent: R. Gendreau advised the Commission that she had received the certificates of mailings for the abutters, a copy of the legal advertisement, and a letter from property owner, A. Sellew, authorizing P. Jennings of 508 International to request an RDA on her property.

Commission: E. Gaspar asked if the Enforcement Order against 508 International was still in effect and he was advised it was. He further asked if we rule on this RDA won't that enable 508 International to use the RDA as "unspoken" authorization for the bridge.

Agent: R. Gendreau agreed, stating that 508 International requested an RDA for something outside of the Enforcement Order.

Public: A. Jablonski, abutter from 18 Ladd Road, appeared before the Commission regarding a bridge over the wetlands on parcel identified as 27 Ladd Road.

She referenced the RDA application as stating the area description as "near Sturbridge Charlton town line, existing trail from the Charlton side opens to a field". She contests that the applicant has not accurately identified the Sturbridge Town line and the outcome of his error is that he is trespassing across 300 feet of the 18 Ladd Road parcel by creating a running trail that leads participants to/from/over a bridge in the wetlands in Sturbridge. She inquired if Sturbridge had given permission to him to do so. She added that the person who patrols her property had made her aware of Mr. Jennings' incursion through her property in spite of "No Trespassing" signage. She further advised the Commission that she walked her property in April 2019 with her forester as she was preparing her foresting plan. At that time she asked her forester to mark and blaze the boundary between her property and a parcel owned by the Sellew's in Charlton. Her hope was this action would alert Mr. Jennings. Her plan was to obtain an official survey of her property but in the interim she learned that Mr. Jennings had been asked to provide a survey to the Conservation Commission; and also that the officials from the towns of Sturbridge and Charlton had met (during a site visit with 508 International) to verify the town line which turned out to be the same line blazed by her forester. Thereby confirming Mr. Jennings had been trespassing on her property. As part of his boundary miscalculation and trail creation Mr. Jennings destroyed part of an old stone wall on her property that was located approximately 100 feet from the town boundary. Stones from that wall, and area trees, were subsequently painted to mark the trail for race participants. In other areas plastic tape and tags were utilized to mark the trail. Those items and participant bibs were left as litter after the races. In an attempt to signal her property and deter trespassing, Ms. Jablonski installed chain barriers on the trail at her property boundary lines with "No Trespassing" signs. Said chain barriers were removed by 508 International with disregard for her property. Ms. Jablonski concluded by asking the Commission to exercise its jurisdiction to apply strictly all wetlands regulations and environmental rulings in this matter. **Commission**: D. Barnicle thanked her for her statement. He also stated that the Commission is now confident that there is confirmation that the site is within the Sturbridge town line.

Agent: R. Gendreau advised the Commision that Town Counsel suggested issuing an RDA with positive findings without approving the permit and upholding the existing Enforcement Order.

Action: On motion of D. Barnicle, seconded by E. Gaspar, the Commission voted to close the public hearing. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester). On motion of D. Barnicle, seconded by S. Halterman, the Commission issued a Determination of Applicability with the following findings: +1, +2B, +3, and +5. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).

6:30 **Request for Determination of Applicability;** 300 and 302 Clark Road Ext.; Connection of Two Homes to Town Water; P. Vogliazzo

Documents: Site Plan

P. Vogliazzo, Owner, and J. Morse, Contractor, appeared before the Commission seeking approval for the connection of 'Town water" to two homes located at 300 and 302 Clark Road Ext. He advised the Commission that the property behind his received town water bringing it to the area. His home already

has town sewer. During the site visit the owner alerted R. Gendreau to a partial porch collapse at 302 Clark Road Ext. that he would also like to repair and a downed tree at 300 Clark Road Ext. that he would like to remove.

Agent: R. Gendreau advised the Commission that while the work is in the 50 foot buffer zone there will be minimal impact and the land pipes will pass through is already lawn/landscape/driveway. She added that the downed tree had been down for a long while and feels should be removed. R. Gendreau also recommended approval of the porch repairs as the intent of the project is to stay within the exact footprint of the existing porch.

Commission: E. Goodwin asked if the porch was covered and he was informed that it is a covered porch. Action: On motion of E. Gaspar, seconded by S. Halterman, the Commission voted to close the public hearing. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester). On motion of S. Halterman, seconded by E. Gaspar, the Commission approved the RDA and issuing of a permit for the connection of town water to 300 and 302 Clark Road Ext.; the removal of a downed tree at 300 Clark Road Ext; and the repair of a porch in the same footprint as the existing porch at 302 Clark Road Ext. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).

6:45 **Notice of Intent DEP File #300-1045;** 22 Breakneck Road; Repair of Septic System to Single Family Home; A. Shepherd; Represented by M. Farrell of Green Hill Engineering

Documents: Site Plans

M. Farrell of Green Hill Engineering appeared before the Commission seeking approval for the repair of the septic system for a single family home located at 22 Breakneck Road. He advised the Commission that the plan is to replace and raise the tank and move proposed 20x40' leechfield over. The system is between the 100 and 200 foot buffer zone, but there are no changes to the size or flow.

Agent: R. Gendreau asked M. Farrell when the project would be done and he advised her this fall. She noted that there is a large tree and a catch basin on the site and informed M. Farrell that these items must be outside the limit of work and that some extra erosion controls may need to be put in place for this project. Lastly, she requested that the 200 foot buffer zone line be included on the site plan. **Commission**: D. Barnacle inquired if the contractor would break and fill to remove the old tank. If the soil was going to be carried off site and M. Farrell stated that he planned to stockpile and loam the soil during the project which he anticipates will take one week. The loam will be put up on the flat lawn area and sediment controls will be put in place.

Action: On motion of D. Barnicle, seconded by S. Halterman, the Commission voted to closed the public hearing. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester). On motion of D. Barnicle, seconded by S. Halterman, the Commission approved the permit for the repair of the septic system at 22 Breakneck Road with standard conditions and the inclusion of the 200 fgoot buffer zone line on the site plan. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).

7:00 **Notice of Intent; DEP #300-TBA;** 2 Ladd Road; Landscape Restoration; A. Rivers **Continued to October 15, 2019 at 6:45PM; Property owner will return with site plan detailing planting locations and types.**

No DEP file number has been issued to date therefore action on this project will be continued to October 15, 2019 meeting at 6:45pm.

A. Rivers, Owner, did appear before the Commission however, seeking advice and assistance with his site plan and planting types. He apologized for not knowing the protocol for removing trees stating that he was trying to protect his home. His landscaper has provided some guidance on replacement of 18 trees but he feels strongly that that number of trees is too many for the site.

Agent: R. Gendreau advised the Commission that she had provided the Owner with a sketch of a potential restoration plan along with a site plan from a previously completed septic project that he could use to put the landscape plan on so it will be to scale.

Commission: D. Barnicle suggested using some trees that do not grow tall or some berry bearing bushes. S. Halterman advised the owner that the Commission is concerned with trees near the wetlands as they are used by wildlife there and provide shade for the wetlands that keeps the water from heating.

Owner: A. Rivers will return on October 15, 2019 at 6:45pm with the landscape restoration plan for 2 Ladd Road.

7:15 **Notice of Intent**; **DEP** #300-1044; 90 Westwood Drive; Construction of Deck; S. Jones; Represented by L. Jalbert of Jalbert Engineering

Documents: Site Plan

L. Jalbert of Jalbert Engineering came before the Commission seeking approval for the construction of a $14^{\circ} \times 22^{\circ}$ deck at 90 Westwood Drive. The plan calls for the removal of the current stone patio and the installation of a composite decking deck. The deck will be level with lower level of the home with direct access. The stone from the old patio will be removed from the site and the deck will be on piers with limited ground disturbance.

Agent: R. Gendreau informed the Commission that the project is located within the 50 foot no new structure zone, but the Commission could give a variance and approve with conditions.

Commission: E. Gaspar inquired about the location of the stairs on the plan adding that during the site visit the owner indicated that they stairs were going in a different location. L. Jalbert stated that he was unaware that the owner wished to relocate the stairs. HE added that the footprint of the deck would remain the same including the stars no matter which location was utilized. D. Barnicle suggested using a 10 penny gape between the boards.

Action: On motion of D. Barnicle, seconded by S. Halterman, the Commission voted to closed the public hearing. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester). On motion of D. Barnicle, seconded by S. Halterman, the Commission approved the permit for construction of a 14' x 22' deck located at 90 Westwood Drive as indicated on the plan. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).

7:30 **Notice of Intent**; **DEP** #300-TBA; 198 Lake Road; Installation of a new well and walkway from house to dock; M. Smith; represented by Z. Gless of Existing Grade, Inc.

Documents: Site Plan

Z. Gless, Existing Grade Inc., and B. Smith, Owner, appeared seeking approval for the installation of a new well at 198 Lake Road. He advised the Commission that they are scaling back the project by removing the walkway request as they consider plans to raise and rebuild rather than a renovation to the existing home.

Agent: R. Gendreau informed the Commission that there has been no DEP number issued for this project, however, since the walkway has been removed and the well location is outside the 50 foot buffer zone this project can be permitted by an RDA and approved under the local bylaws.

Action: On motion of D. Barnicle, seconded by E. Gaspar, the Commission voted to close the public hearing. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester). On motion of D. Barnicle, seconded by S. Halterman, the Commission approved the permit for the installation of a well at 198 Lake Road. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).

While before the Commission the Owner asked for guidance on the possibility of a raise and rebuild at the site. She was advised that the property will not be grandfather in if the structure is torn down and would fall in the 25 foot no new structure zone. The commission may ask that the new structure be moved further away from the lake.

7:45 **Notice of Intent**; **DEP** #300-1047; 246 Holland Road (Lot 2); Single Family Home, well, driveway, septic and associated grading; J. Harrity; Represented by M. Farrell of Green Hill Engineering

Documents: Site Plan

M. Farrell of Green Hill Engineering appeared seeking a permit from the Commission for the construction of a single family home, well, driveway, septic and associated grading for Lot 2 of 246 Holland Road. He advised the Commission that the project will fall between the 50 and 100 foot buffer zone line of the wetlands on the site. He also acknowledged that DPW will need to approve the driveway and also remove the guardrail to access the property.

Agent: R. Gendreau asked Mr. Hill to discuss the project as a whole including the Lot 1 single family home project.

M. Farrell stated that the parcel consists of 4 lots, only 2 are being developed at this time and the only access to the sites is from Holland Road as there are wetlands in the back. The proposed projects on Lot 1 and 2 will not create a hardship for the remaining two lots.

Agent: R. Gendreau advised the Commission that a site visit had been performed in May and at that time she suggested filing an ANRAD. She received the data sheets today and felt the line was conservative. She spoke with DEP regarding said line who advised her that it could be left in that location and if future work was requested they may need to come back again. R. Gendreau also informed the Commission that an old dug pond on the site may be a vernal pool but she is not sure it holds water for long enough amounts of time for classification as such.

M. Farrell advised the Commission that he will honor the setback line noted on the ANRAD. **Public**: Abutter R. Beydall appeared to confirm that the proposed projects will not affect the easement she has to her property, and if the well and septic will have any effect on her property which is located behind these lots. She was advised that the easement is not near 246 Holland Road and as such will not be effected. She was also informed that the well and septic installation at the properties will not have any effect on her property.

Action: On motion of E. Gaspar, seconded by S. Halterman, the Commission voted to close the public hearing. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester). On motion of D. Barnicle, seconded by S. Halterman, the Commission approved the permit for DEP #300-1047 for the construction of a single family home, well, driveway, septic and associated grading at 246 Holland Road (Lot 2). Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).

8:00 **Notice of Intent**; **DEP** #300-1046; 246 Holland Road (Lot 1); Single Family Home, well, driveway, septic and associated grading; J. Harrity; Represented by M. Farrell of Green Hill Engineering

M. Farrell of Green Hill Engineering appeared seeking a permit from the Commission for the construction of a single family home, well, driveway, septic and associated grading for Lot 1 of 246 Holland Road. He advised the Commission that the home and well will be outside the 100 foot buffer zone, and the septic system will fall between the 25 and 100 foot line. He also acknowledged that DPW will need to approve the driveway and also remove the guardrail to access the property.

Agent: R. Gendreau stated that in addition to the standard conditions she suggests the addition of extra erosion controls at the site due to the slope and the approaching winter season.

Action: On motion of D. Barnicle, seconded by S. Halterman, the Commission voted to close the public hearing. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester). On motion of D. Barnicle, seconded by S. Halterman, the Commission approved the permit for DEP #300-1046 for the construction of a single family home, well, driveway, septic and associated grading at 246 Holland Road (Lot 1) with special condition of additional erosion controls / straw wattles and silt fence. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).

- 8:15 **Request for Determination of Applicability;** *Continued from September17, 2019;* 130 & 140 Fiske Hill Road; Goulas, G., Allsworth LLC; Represented by EBT Environmental Consultants, Inc.
- G. Krevosky, EBT, appeared before the Commission. He advised the Commissioners that they are still completing the test borings and working on the vernal pool classification confirmation. He has spoken with R. Gendreau regarding the protocol for the spring to determine the classification. As such they are asking for a continuance to February 2020 in order to complete the above items.

Action: Consensus Vote: All in favor of continuing this project to the second February 2020 Conservation Commission Meeting. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abtain, 1-absent (Chidester).

8:30 **Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation; DEP File #300-1037**; *Continued from September 17*, 2019; 650 & 680 Route 15, Seeking approval of delineated resource areas on the subject parcels. Landing Rock LLC; Represented by McClure Engineering, Inc.

Applicant asked for continuation to flag bankline and modify plans accordingly.

Action: Consensus Vote: All in favor of continuing this project to October 15, 2019 Conservation Commission Meeting at 6:30pm. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abtain, 1-absent (Chidester).

Old Business

• **DEP File #300-1021; 30 River Road, Pine Lake Campground:** P. Morrow, Owner, and S. Morrison, Ecotec Inc., appeared before the Commission seeking minor amendment to site plan and approval for the addition of two retaining walls. The first will be located along the front of the resort center and will be 4 feet high and they will level off the pad above the wall to the center. The second retaining wall will be located around the corner on the side of the center. He

added that the stormwater infrasctruture has been installed already and can accommodate the changes. The proposed retaining walls will be included on the as-builts for the site. Action: Consensus Vote: The Commission voted to approve the amendments to the plan as detailed this evening. 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).

Agent: R. Gendreau advised the Commission that Town Counsel has recommended against the Memorandum of Understanding and in favor of a formal letter addressing ongoing issues. If the letter contents are not addressed Town Counsel suggests taking more formal action.

Action: R. Gendreau and Town Counsel will work on letter and provide it to Commission for comments. R. Gendreau will pass Commissioner's comments onto Town Counsel. A formal final version of the letter will be compiled and the letter will be issued to Pine Lake by the end of next week.

- 179 Main Street; DEP File #300-1042: R. Gendreau reminded the Commission that this permit was approved in August with the condition that a revised site plan be presented to the Conservation Commission. A site visit was held with Bertin Engineering, CME, SCC and Property Owner to discuss the revisions. R. Gendreau did receive a plan incorporating some of the requested revisions, however there are a few remaining items not reflected on that plan that were discussed at the site visit. R. Gendreau is still awaiting final revised site plan modifications required for permit issuance.
- 158 Lake Road Enforcement Order, Unpermitted Tree Removal: R. Gendreau adised the Commission that erosion controls have been put in place by the Tree contractor. As tasked at a previous meeting, R. Gendreau provided the Owner with Arborist's names for the tree report the Commission is seeking, but there has been no reply from Owner despite attempts to reach him.
- Hamilton Rod & Gun Club & Rampco Enforcement Order: Operations Manager for Rampco, was present and advised the Commission that all of the outstanding areas had been hydroseeded over the past 2 days, and the large loam was to be spread out and seeded as well. R. Gendreau reminded Rampco that they had originally gone before the Selectmen for their Earth Removal Permit. She added that the changes to the original plan constitute more than minor amendments and she is not sure how the Selectmen will handle that issue as the plan presented is not the plan that is moving forward. Regardless of that she stated that we are getting into the winter season and there are numerous outstanding items that will likely not be accomplished prior to winter. She added that Bertin Engineering will need to review the changes and provide confirmation that the changes to the stromwater plan will work as anticipated, but that confirmation will not happen before the winter season. As such, stabilization for the winter season needs to be accomplished. R. Gendreau also advised Rampco that the Conservation Commission wants a commitment from Rampco to fulfill what needs to be completed/fixed per the original site plan and/or as Bertin Engineering say/calls for to ensure the stormwater management system will work. Rampco stated he will speak with Rampco's owner to obtain a set of as-builts from Bertin Engineering and work off those to complete that job. HRGC advised the Commission that their contract with Rampco ends in January. He also stated that Rampco had not done much of the required work per the enforcement order and are not confident the work will be completed before the end of the contract. R. Gendreau questioned Rampco about the plan for the large boulders currently in the retaining pond. Rampco advised her that they are working to split the bouldersand spread them around the edges of the retaining pond. Lastly, Rampco informed the Commission that the requested as-builts from Bertin Engineering are approximately 4 weeks out. R. Gendreau stated that when Bertin provides the as-builts for the project they will need to indicate if the implemented changes will work and added that the Selectmen will likely

also want to see the changes. Action: Continued; waiting for as-builts from Bertin Engineering.

New Business

- 31 South Shore Drive DEP File # 300-1041: R. Gendreau advised the Commission that an Abutter has made an Appeal of Orders of Conditions to DEP. A DEP site visit is scheduled for October 9, 2019 at 9:30am to informally discuss appeal.
- Restructuring of Conservation Commission FY20: Continued as S. Chidester was absent.

Request for Certificate of Compliance

- 660 Main Street, DEP File #300-0980; Heal, Inc.: R. Gendreau advised the Commission that this request was on an agenda earlier this year but she suggested holding off on approval until the grass had stabilized. She performed a site visit and the grass has stabilized. Action: Consensus Vote: The Commission approved the Certificate of Compliance for DEP #300-0980with ongoing conditions pertaining to stormwater management. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).
- 104 South Shore Drive DEP File #300-0988; R. Verschure: Project was and enclosure of an existing deck into a 3 season porch. R. Gendreau reported that the project is complete and recommends approval. Action: Consensus Vote: The Commission approved the Certificate of Compliance for DEP #300-0988. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).
- 47 Seneca Lane DEP File #300-1005; Existing Grade, Inc.: R. Gendreau informed the Commission that this permit was issued in 2017 for the raze and rebuild of a single family home. R. Gendreau performed a site visit and the engineer has submitted a statement of substantial completion for the project. She added that some of the work included on the original plan was omitted from the project prior to start. Action: Consensus Vote: The Commission approved the Certificate of Compliance for DEP #300-1005. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).

Emergency Certifications

- MA DOT Rt. 49 Installation of Water Flow Device in Beaver Dam: R. Gendreau asked the Commission to ratify an Emergency Certification issued to MA DOT for Rt. 49 to lower the dam one (1) foot to allow for the installation of a water flow device in the beaver dam. She informed the Commission that she is waiting for Board of Health approval as well prior to actual issuance. Action: Consensus Vote: The Commission ratified the issuance of the Emergency Certification approving the one (1) foot lowering of water at the beaver dam on Rt. 49 to install water flow device. Vote: 4-yes, 00-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).
- 42 Wells Park Road: G. Krevosky advised the Commission that a culvert pipe had failed at this property. The driveway that passes over the pipe is in danger of collapse and the ground around the pipe on the side of the driveway already has a large hazardous hole. The plan is to pump the water around the work are to do the repairs in the dry. They will cut the wall in the driveway to remove the failed pipe and will replace it making sure the capacity of the pipe remains the same. The drop at the outlet will also remain the same. G. Krevosky advised the Commission that he will be onsite to oversee the project and will follow up with a filing for the work. D. Barnicle asked if a disbursement end would be beneficialand G. Krevosky stated that the rocks were sufficient to reduce the energy of the water. Action: Consensus Vote: The Commission

- ratified the issuance of the Emergency Certification approving the replacement of a failed culvert pipe at 42 Wells Park Road. Vote: 4-yes, 00-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).
- Cooper Road: DPW is seeking approval to install water flow devices in two beaver dams on Cooper Road. The release will be a hand release and they will use Beaver Solutions for the install. The first dam is a newer dam and will require a one foot lowering. The second dam is larger/older and they are requesting to lower the water 2 feet. R. Gendreau is not sure a 2 foot lowering is warranted. She is also still awaiting approval from BOH prior to issuing the emergency certification. Action: Continued to allow the Commission to perform a site visit and determine how much to lower the water at the larger/older dam.

Letter Permits

- Tree Removal Permit Application; 30 Breakneck Road; S. Dillon: R. Gendreau advised the Commission that this is an after-the-fact filing. The Conservation Dept. received a call regarding tree removal at this location. She performed a site visit as no permit had been issued and the work is across the street from a large pond with a small wetland on site as well. The trees had already been cut down from an area outside the 100 foot buffer zone. She was able to confirm that most of the trees were dead or declining and the removal will have no real impact on shade for the wetlands. She acknowledged that the work would have been a permitable project.

 Action: Consensus Vote: The Commission approved the permit for tree removal for 30 Breakneck Road. Vote: 4-ves, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).
- Tree Removal Permit Application; 108 Leadmine Lane; G. Schermerhorn: R. Gendreau advised the Commission that this is also an after-the-fact filing. The Conservation Dept. received a call regarding tree removal at this location. She performed a site visit as no permit had been issued for said work. She noted that all but one tree scheduled to be removed by the tree service company had been downed. The closest tree removed was approximately 70 feet from the water. T. Chamberlain subsequently reviewed the trees for the owner and determined that they were all dead except for two trees which he said were declining. T. Chamberlain did recommend an area for replanting if it was deemed necessary but R. Gendreau advised the Commission that she believed there were plenty of trees remaining on the property. Action: Consensus Vote: The Commission approved the permit for tree removal for 108 Leadmine Road. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).

Forest Cutting Plans

• Forest Cutting Plan, Podunk Road, 176 Podunk; T. Buell, additional information: R. Gendreau reminded the Commission that this Forest Cutting Plan was on the agenda at the last meeting. The Commission had requested the Forester use the driveway instead of the wetlands to remove the trees. A site visit with the forester was performed. The driveway is very curvy and difficult to maneuver large trees through. The forester proposed to cord some of the wood and use the driveway to remove that wood but still requested permission to use the original removal route for the tall trees. He added that he will use corduroy, and stones as shields, when crossing the wetlands. R. Gendreau informed the Commission that they could request a pre/during/post inspection of the wetlands and should they cause any damage it would need to be repaired.

Action: The Commission was split on recommending approval of the proposed Forest Cutting Plan and asked R. Gendreau to convey that message to the Selectmen and the concerns causing the split. Vote: 2-yes (Gaspar, Halterman), 2-no (Barnicle, Goodwin), 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).

Signatures

- **Notice of Intent; DEP #300-1043**; The Commission executed Orders of Conditions for 68 Westwood Drive.
- **Notice of Intent; DEP File #300-1038;** The Commission executed Orders of Conditions for 314 The Trail.

<u>Adjourn</u>

• On motion of E. Gaspar, seconded by S. Halterman the Commission adjourned at 10:00 pm. Vote: 4-yes, 0-no, 0-abstain, 1-absent (Chidester).

OCT 1, 2019

STURBRIDGE CONSERVATION COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-IN SHEET

(PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY)

Date	Name	Firm/Address	Abutter? Yes / No	Reason / Project
10/1	afellonsk	16 Restric	Yes	27 Lard Road bootland
10/1	Pete i Jo Voglenjo	3004302 CLARK Rd EXTENSION	No	TOWN WATER CONSECTION
10-1	JACOD MONSE	MEC INDUSTRIKS	20	TOUR WATER CONNECTION
10-1	ALANI SHEPHERO	22 BRUSAKNUCK RD	No	Sopric REPAIR
10-1	Mank Freed	10 Mais St	No	Various
10-1	Laonard S. Palbert	54Mais ST	NB	Loves/Allen
10-1	Ansrew 4 ERIKA RIVERS	3 (M) 0 728	NO	WETLAND RESTORATION
10/1	Zachany Gless	198 Lake Rd	20	well approval
10/1	Steven Green Sera	251 Holland Rd	Yes	home construction
الاا	Michael Michael Coseann Bergdoll	127 Cubles Dr.	Yes	246 Holland Rd

STURBRIDGE CONSERVATION COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-IN SHEET (PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY)

Date	Name	Firm/Address	Abutter2 Yes (No	Reason / Project
10/1/19	Victor F. Polloch	Home Daivenby		ROLYERT FAILURE
10/5/14	DOWNED PAGE GLENNEKREVOSKY	HRG		HRE GRAVE
10/1/19	GLENNE KREVOSKY	EBT	NO	+ DEO GOULAS WALKER POUD
10/1/19		EcoTec, Inc.	No	River Road
	· -			
	,			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		